

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,364	12/22/2003	Harry S. Sowden	MCP0293-DIV2	7973	
27777	7590 03/15/2006		EXAMINER		
PHILIP S. JO		DAVIS, ROBERT B			
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1722		
	•		DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application No. Application No. 10/743,364 SOWDEN ET AL. Examiner Robert B. Davis 1/22							
Examiner Robert B. Davis 1722 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a rapity be timely filled after \$18, 0) MONTH(S) to the terminication of the provision of \$2.5 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a rapity be timely filled after \$18, 0) MONTH(S) to the smalling date of the communication Fallacies to reply within the set or entended period for reply will, by a failable, cases the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office det than three moning date for the mailing date of the communication, even if simely filled, may reduce any search patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(6). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 13-20 and 40-47 Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are ellowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to See 37 CFR 1.35(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.21(d). 11) The oath or declaration is			Application No.	,	Applicant(s)		
Robert B. Davis - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editeration for many be available used the provided and of the communication of the communicati			10/743,364	10/743,364		SOWDEN ET AL.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timedy the date of this communication. HIND period to reply is specified drawn, the name relation period will apply an will supply to XIV (S) MONTHS from the relation date of this communication. HIND period to reply is specified drawn, the name relation period will apply and will supply to XIV (S) from the relation date of this communication. HIND period to reply is specified drawn, the name relation period case the application is become ABANDONED (18 LIS C. § 130). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any sented patient them adultations. A proper provinced by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any sented patient them adultations. A proper provinced by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any sented patients. A province of the subject to communication (s) filled on		Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled with SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the many communication. Falue to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply recident by the Office later than three molinis after the mailing date of this communication, away required by the Office later than three molinis after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(s). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on			Robert B. Davis		1722		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be existed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.18(6). In or event, however, may a reply bet timely field after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Fallium by many within the set or extended period for received with yearboard will apply and will apply apply apply apply apply apply and will apply and will apply and will apply a			appears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 13-20 and 40-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are vithdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-20 and 40-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication per period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum.	G DATE OF THIS CO R 1.136(a). In no event, how but the control of the control string the control of the control	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this cor 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 13-20 and 40-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 13-20 and 40-47 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
4) Claim(s) 13-20 and 40-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-20 and 40-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 25 Since this application is in condition for all conditions.	This action is non-fin	rmal matters, pro		merits is	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>13-20 and 40-47</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consider				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Applicati	on Papers					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	accepted or b) obj the drawing(s) be held rrection is required if th	l in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	, ,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12)[☐ / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But	nents have been rece nents have been rece priority documents ha reau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application ave been receivee (a)).	on No d in this National S	Stage	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Control of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Solution of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Obtion of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Solution of Draftsperson's PTO-948 Solution of Draftsperson's PTO	1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB) 3/08) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te	.152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/743,364 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 1, line 1, the status of the parent application must be updated to reflect the patent number.

Page 11, line 6 to page 12, line 6, contains text that is outlined by a rectangle and shaded. This portion of the text should be resubmitted without the outlining and shading. Clean replacement sheets are required.

Page 21, line 27, after serial no. there is a blank space which must be filled in to reflect the serial number of the related application which has the docket number MCP 274.

Page 58, lines 20 and 29-31, contains text which is outlined and shaded. A clean replacement sheet is required.

Page 62, lines 26-27, contains text which is outlined and shaded. A clean replacement sheet is required.

Page 63, lines 15-26, contains text which is outlined and shaded. A clean replacement sheet is required.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct

from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 13-20 and 40-47 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-20 of copending Application No. 10/734,337. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims have substantial overlapping subject matter. Claim 13 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 16 of 10/734,337. The instant "powder recovery system for removing excess powder from the vicinity of the die cavity" is encompassed by the phrase "means for recovering powder trapped by said filter" Claim 40 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 15 of 10/734,337 as the instant "a purge system for removing powder from said filter" is a more general way of stating "a source of pressurized gas, a conduit for placing said pressurized fluid in flow communication with said filter so as to purge said trapped powder from said filter."

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 13, 15, 17, 19, 40, 42 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doepel (4,292,017: figures 1, 2 and 4; column 2, lines 1-19 and column 5, line 53 to column 6, line 24).

Doepel teaches an apparatus for forming compressed dosage forms (tablets). the apparatus comprising: a suction source (vacuum pump-column 5, lines 61-63); a die cavity (38) having a first port for placing the die cavity in flow communication with said suction source (top opening of the die) to said die cavity, and a second port for placing the die cavity in flow communication with a supply of powder (bottom opening of the die), whereby the suction source assists said powder in flowing into the die cavity (see column 2, lines 1-9), a filter (116, 118, 119) disposed between the suction source (attached to conduit 120-see column 5, lines 53-67), a punches (40, 42) for compressing the powder in the die cavity so as to form compressed tablets (figure 5); and a powder recovery system for removing excess powder from the filter, which is a source of compressed air upwardly and the purged product is drawn off by vacuum (column 6, lines 10-24). The vacuum source above the filter to collect the purged powder blown out of the filter is being considered a recovery system. The examiner is drawing a clear line between recovery and recycling. Accordingly, claim 13 does not require recycling structure. It is inherent that the punches have the capability of

operating at a force of 20 kN. The die is disposed proximal to the die cavity as described above and shown in figure 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 13, 15-17, 40 and 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belousov et al (Soviet reference 662370 A: figures 1-3 and the English abstract) taken together with Bullock (5,667,158: figures 1 and 2; column 1, lines 16-30; and column 3, lines 31-47).

Belousov et al disclose an apparatus for compression molding of dosage forms (tablets), the apparatus comprising: a suction source (vacuum from the abstract attached to hose (16), a die cavity (4) having a first port (bottom opening of the die) for

placing the die cavity in flow communication with the suction source (during the filling period-abstract), a second port (top opening of the die) for placing the die cavity in flow communication with a supply of powder, such that the suction source assists powder in flowing into the cavity; a filter (19) disposed between the suction source and the second port. The reference fails to disclose a powder recovery system to purge.

Bullock et al disclose a reclaim system for use with a pharmaceutical tablet compression machine, comprising: a filter (114) for collecting material dust, a compressed air source (128) to purge dust from the filter and a canister (112) which feeds dust back to a raw material source (C). The reference fulfills a long felt need for reusing raw material collected from the compression molding machine to save material costs.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Belousov et al by adding a mechanism for recycling collected raw material as disclosed by Bullock et al for the purpose of saving on material costs by collecting discarded material from a compression molding machine.

9. Claims 14, 20, 41 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belousov et al taken together with Bullock et al as applied to claims 13, 15-17, 40 and 42-44 above, and further in view of Campbell (3,430,532: figures 2-6 and column 2, lines 3-28).

The combination of Belousov et al and Bullock et al disclose all claimed features except for the second port being located in a wall of the die wherein the opening is

closed upon upward movement of a bottom plunger or the use of a feed shoe which covers a plurality of dies on a die table as it is rotated past the feed shoe.

Campbell discloses a compression molding machine having a die (18) having openings (98) connected to a vacuum source for assisting in the quick, uniform loading of the die and a feed head (84) covering a plurality of dies (18) on a die table (86).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Belousov et al by using a lateral opening of the die connected to a vacuum source for assisting in filling of the die as disclosed by Campbell for the purpose of assuring uniform filling of the plurality of dies. It would have been further obvious to modify the apparatus of Belousov et al by using a feed head that covers a plurality of dies on a die table as disclosed by Campbell for the purpose of feeding particulate material to a plurality of dies.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining references illustrate the state of the art of compression molding machines.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-1129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,364

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert B. Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1722 Page 8

3/13/06